A generalization of the Youla-Kučera parametrization for stabilizable systems

Alban Quadrat

INRIA Sophia Antipolis, CAFE Project, 2004 route des lucioles, BP 93, 06902 Sophia Antipolis cedex, France.

Alban.Quadrat@sophia.inria.fr

www-sop.inria.fr/cafe/Alban.Quadrat/index.html

Tel: 00-33-4-92-38-76-42

• Finite-dimensional system:

 $\dot{x}(t) = x(t) + u(t), \ x(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{x}(s) = \frac{1}{s-1}\hat{u}(s).$

• Delay system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = x(t) + u(t), \ x(0) = 0,$$

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le t \le 1, \\ x(t-1), & t \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{y}(s) = \frac{e^{-s}}{s-1} \hat{u}(s).$$

• System of partial differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial t^2}(x,t) - \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}(x,t) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0, \ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}(1,t) = u(t), \\ y(t) = \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(1,t), \end{cases}$$
$$\Rightarrow \hat{y}(s) = \frac{1 + e^{-2s}}{1 - e^{-2s}} \hat{u}(s).$$

• The poles of the transfer functions (1, 1, $i k \pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$h_1(s) = \frac{1}{s-1}, \ h_2(s) = \frac{e^{-s}}{s-1}, \ h_3(s) = \frac{1+e^{-2s}}{1-e^{-2s}}$$

belong to $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(s) \ge 0\} \Rightarrow$ unstability.

Stabilization by feedback

•
$$\mathbb{C}_{+} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} s > 0\},\$$

 $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{+}) = \{\text{holomorphic functions } f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_{+} \mid \ \| f \|_{\infty} = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_{+}} |f(s)| < +\infty\},\$
 $H_{2}(\mathbb{C}_{+}) = \{\text{holomorphic functions } f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_{+} \mid \ \| f \|_{2} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} (\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x+iy)|^{2} dy)^{1/2} < +\infty\}$

 $= \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)), \ \mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ Laplace transform.

• The transfer functions h_i do not belong to $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$: $h_1(s) = \frac{1}{s-1}, h_2(s) = \frac{e^{-s}}{s-1}, h_3(s) = \frac{1+e^{-2s}}{1-e^{-2s}}$

 \Rightarrow we have the **linear unbounded operator**

$$\begin{array}{rccc} T_{h_i} : H_2(\mathbb{C}_+) & \longrightarrow & H_2(\mathbb{C}_+), \\ & \widehat{u} & \longmapsto & \widehat{y} = h_i \, \widehat{u}, \end{array}$$

 $\Rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(T_{h_i}) = \{ \widehat{u} \in H_2 \mid \widehat{y} = h_i \, \widehat{u} \in H_2 \} \subsetneq H_2$ $\Rightarrow \exists \, \widehat{u} \in H_2(\mathbb{C}_+) : \, \widehat{y} = h_i \, \widehat{u} \notin H_2(\mathbb{C}_+).$

Robust control

• Is it possible to find a controller C such that the closed-loop is stable $\forall \hat{u}_i \in H_2(\mathbb{C}_+)$?



• Is it possible to determine the set of all stabilizing controllers of *P*?

• Is it possible to find robust/optimal stabilizing controllers *C*?

The integral domain RH_{∞}

• The ring of **proper stable real rational transfer functions**:

$$RH_{\infty} = \left\{ \frac{n(s)}{d(s)} \in \mathbb{R}(s) \mid \deg n(s) \le \deg d(s), \\ d(s) = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(s) < 0 \right\}$$

- $p \in \mathbb{R}(s)$: a plant.
- $c \in \mathbb{R}(s)$: a controller.
- The closed-loop system is defined by:



 u_1, u_2 : external inputs, e_1, e_2 : internal inputs, y_1, y_2 : outputs.

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -p \\ -c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{cases} y_1 = e_2 - u_2, \\ y_2 = e_1 - u_1. \end{cases}$$

• <u>Definition</u>: c internally stabilizes p if we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -p \\ -c & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-pc} & \frac{p}{1-pc} \\ \frac{c}{1-pc} & \frac{1}{1-pc} \end{pmatrix} \in RH_{\infty}^{2\times 2}.$$

• Internal stability over $RH_{\infty} \Leftrightarrow$ exponential stability (resp. $L_2 - L_2$ -stability, $L_{\infty} - L_{\infty}$ -stability).

• Example: $A = RH_{\infty}, \quad K = \mathbb{R}(s).$ $\begin{cases} p = \frac{s}{s-1}, \\ c = -\frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} e_1 = \frac{(s+1)}{(2s+1)} u_1 + \frac{s(s+1)}{(2s+1)(s-1)} u_2, \\ e_2 = \frac{(-s+1)}{(2s+1)} u_1 + \frac{(s+1)}{(2s+1)} u_2. \end{cases}$

 \Rightarrow c does not internally stabilize p because:

$$\frac{s(s+1)}{(2s+1)(s-1)} \notin RH_{\infty} \text{ (pole in } 1 \in \mathbb{C}_{+}\text{)}.$$

$$u_{2} \notin \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right) H_{2} \triangleq \left\{\frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)} z \mid z \in H_{2}\right\} \Rightarrow e_{1} \notin H_{2}.$$

$$(\text{e.g. } u_{2} = \frac{1}{s+1} \text{ i.e. } \mathcal{L}^{-1}(u_{2}) = e^{-t}Y(t)\text{)}.$$

The pole/zero cancellation between *p* and *c* leads to an unstability.

• Example: $A = RH_{\infty}$, $K = \mathbb{R}(s)$.

$$\begin{cases} p = \frac{s}{s-1}, \\ c = 2, \end{cases} \begin{cases} e_1 = -\frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)} u_1 - \frac{s}{(s+1)} u_2, \\ e_2 = -2\frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)} u_1 - \frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)} u_2. \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow c$ internally stabilizes the plant p.

Well-known results

• <u>Theorem</u>: (Morse, Vidyasagar) Every transfer function $p \in \mathbb{R}(s)$ admits a **coprime factorization** over RH_{∞} , i.e. $\exists 0 \neq d, n, x, y \in RH_{\infty}$ such that:

 $p = n/d, \quad dx - ny = 1.$

• <u>Theorem</u>: (Youla, Kučera, Desoer and al) All stabilizing controllers of $p \in \mathbb{R}(s)$ have the form:

$$c(q) = \frac{y + q d}{x + q n}, \quad \forall q \in RH_{\infty} : x + q n \neq 0.$$

• Interest: Find all the controllers $c \in \mathbb{R}(s)$ such that

$$\inf_{c \in \mathsf{Stab}(p)} \| w (1 - pc)^{-1} \|_{\infty}, \quad w \in RH_{\infty},$$
$$\mathsf{Stab}(p) = \{ c \in \mathbb{R}(s) \mid \frac{1}{1 - pc}, \ \frac{c}{1 - pc}, \ \frac{p}{1 - pc} \in RH_{\infty} \}.$$

This **non-linear problem** becomes the **convex** one:



Extension to other classes of systems

"The foregoing results about **rational functions** are so elegant that one can hardly resist the temptation **to try to generalize them to non-rational functions**.

But to what class of functions?

Much attention has been devoted in the engineering literature to the identification of a class that is wide enough to encompass all the functions of physical interest and yet enjoys the structural properties that allow analysis of the robust stabilisation problem",

N. Young.

("Some function-theoretic issues in feedback stabilization", in *Holomorphy Spaces*, MSRI Publications 33, 1998, 337-349.)

The fractional representation approach

(Zames) The set of transfer functions of SISO
systems has the structure of an algebra (parallel
+, serie ○, proportional feedback . by scalar in ℝ).

• (Vidyasagar) Let A be an algebra of transfer functions of SISO stable systems with a structure of an intregral domain ($a b = 0, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$) and its field of fractions:

$$K = Q(A) = \{n/d \mid 0 \neq d, n \in A\}.$$

K represents the class of systems

 \Rightarrow Any unstable plant is defined by $P \in (K \setminus A)^{q \times r}$.

• (Zames) A needs to be a normed algebra $\|\cdot\|_A$ in order to take into account the errors in the modelization & approximation of the real plant by a model.

• (Zames) It is suitable that A is a **complete** k-vector space, i.e. k-Banach space

 $\Rightarrow A \text{ is a Banach algebra}$ $(\parallel a b \parallel_A \leq \parallel a \parallel_A \parallel b \parallel_A, \parallel 1 \parallel_A = 1).$

Examples of stable algebras A of SISO systems

1.
$$RH_{\infty} = \left\{ \frac{n(s)}{d(s)} \in \mathbb{R}(s) \mid \deg n(s) \leq \deg d(s), \\ d(s) = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(s) < 0 \right\}$$

•
$$h_1(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{s+1}\right)}{\left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right)}, \quad \frac{1}{s+1}, \quad \frac{s-1}{s+1} \in RH_{\infty}$$

$$\Rightarrow h_1 \in Q(RH_{\infty}) = \mathbb{R}(s).$$

2.
$$\mathcal{A} = \{ f(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i \delta_{t-t_i} \mid f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+), \\ (a_i)_{i \ge 0} \in l_1(\mathbb{Z}_+), \ 0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 ... \}$$

and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\widehat{g} \mid g \in \mathcal{A}\}$, the Wiener algebras.

•
$$h_2(s) = \frac{e^{-s}}{s-1} = \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-s}}{s+1}\right)}{\left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right)}, \quad \frac{e^{-s}}{s+1}, \quad \frac{s-1}{s+1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

$$\Rightarrow h_2 \in Q(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}).$$

3. $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} s > 0\}$. The Hardy algebra

$$H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{+}) = \{ \text{ holomorphic functions } f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_{+} \mid \\ \| f \|_{\infty} = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_{+}} | f(s) | < +\infty \}.$$

• $h_{3}(s) = \frac{(1+e^{-2s})}{(1-e^{-2s})}, 1+e^{-2s}, 1-e^{-2s} \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{+})$
 $\Rightarrow h_{3} \in Q(H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{+})).$

Fractional representation approach

• Let A be an integral domain and K its quotient field $Q(A) = \{p = n/d \mid 0 \neq d, n \in A\}.$

• <u>Definition</u>: $p \in K$ admits a coprime factorization over A if $\exists 0 \neq d, n, x, y \in A$ such that:

$$p = n/d, \quad dx - ny = 1.$$

• <u>Definition</u>: $p \in K$ is *A*-internally stabilizable if $\exists c \in K = Q(A)$ such that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -p \\ -c & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-pc} & \frac{p}{1-pc} \\ \frac{c}{1-pc} & \frac{1}{1-pc} \end{pmatrix} \in A^{2 \times 2}.$$

existence of coprime factorizations over A \Rightarrow A-internal stabilizability.

 $\Rightarrow \forall p \in \mathbb{R}(s)$ is RH_{∞} -internally stabilizable.

• <u>Theorem</u>: (Inouye, Smith) If $A = H_{\infty}$, then:

 H_{∞} -internal stabilizability \Leftrightarrow existence of coprime factorizations

 \Rightarrow existence of a Youla-Kučera parametrization for every internally stabilizable $p \in Q(H_{\infty})$.

Open questions

• Does *A*-internal stabilizability imply the existence of coprime factorizations over:

$$A = \hat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\mathcal{L}(f)(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i e^{-t_i s} | f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \\ (a_i)_{i \ge 0} \in l_1(\mathbb{Z}_+), \ 0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \ldots\},$$
(ring of BIBO-stable time-invariant systems)
$$A = W_+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i | \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} |a_i| < +\infty \right\},$$
(ring of BIBO-stable causal digital filters)
$$A = M_{\mathbb{D}^n} = \{r/s | 0 \neq s, r \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \\ s(\underline{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{x} \notin \mathbb{D}^n \}$$
(ring of *nD* systems with structural stability)...?

• If it is not the case:

Is it possible to parametrize all stabilizing controllers of a stabilizable plant which does not admit coprime factorizations?

• In this talk, we shall solve the last question.

Theory of fractional ideals

• Let A and $K = Q(A) = \{n/d \mid 0 \neq d, n \in A\}.$

• <u>Definition</u>: A fractional ideal *J* of *A* is an *A*-submodule of *K* such that $\exists 0 \neq d \in A$ satisfying:

(d)
$$J \triangleq \{a d \mid a \in J\} \subseteq A.$$

J of A is integral if $J \subseteq A$ and principal if $\exists k \in K$:

$$J = (k) \triangleq A k = \{a k \mid a \in A\}.$$

• Proposition: Let $\mathcal{F}(A)$ be the set of non-zero fractional ideals of A and $I, J \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Then:

$$\begin{cases} I J = \{\sum_{\text{finite}} a_i b_i \mid a_i \in I, b_i \in J\} \in \mathcal{F}(A), \\ I : J = \{k \in K = Q(A) \mid (k) J \subseteq I\} \in \mathcal{F}(A). \end{cases}$$

• Example: Let $p \in K$. Then, we have:

$$J = A + A p = \{\lambda + \mu p \mid \lambda, \mu \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}(A)$$

 $(p = n/d, d, n \in A \Rightarrow (d) J = An + Ad \subseteq A).$

• <u>Definition</u>: $J \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ is **invertible** if $\exists I \in \mathcal{F}(A)$:

$$I J = A.$$

$$\Rightarrow I = J^{-1} = A : J = \{k \in K \mid (k) J \subseteq A\}.$$

• <u>Theorem</u>: Let $p \in K = Q(A)$ and: $J \triangleq (1, p) = A + A p \in \mathcal{F}(A).$

1. *p* has a weakly coprime factorization p = n/d($0 \neq d, n \in A, \forall k \in K : kn, kd \in A \Rightarrow k \in A$)

$$\Leftrightarrow A : J \triangleq \{a \in A \mid a p \in A\} = A d.$$

2. *p* is **internally stabilizable** \Leftrightarrow *J* is invertible, i.e.

$$\exists a, b \in A : \begin{cases} a - b p = 1, \\ a p \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then, c = b/a internally stabilizes p, $J^{-1} = (a, b)$.

3. $c \in K$ internally stabilizes p

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{(1, p)(1, c) = (1 - p c)}.$$

4. p admits a coprime factorization p = n/d

$$(0 \neq d, n \in A, \quad \exists x, y \in A : dx - ny = 1)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow J = (1/d).$

5. p is strongly stabilizable

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists c \in A : J = (1 - pc).$$

• Let A be the ring of **BIBO-stable causal filters**:

$$A = W_{+} = \{f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_{i} z^{i} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} |a_{i}| < +\infty\}.$$

• Let us consider the transfer function $p = e^{-\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)}$:

$$\begin{cases} n = (1-z)^3 e^{-\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)} \in A, \\ d = (1-z)^3 \in A, \end{cases} \Rightarrow p = n/d \in Q(A). \end{cases}$$

• Let us consider the **fractional ideal** J = (1, p) of A.

$$A: J = \{d \in A \mid d p \in A\}.$$

• The ideal A : J is not finitely generated

- (R. Mortini & M. Von Renteln, **Ideals in Wiener algebra**, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 46 (1989), 220-228),
- i.e. \nexists finite family $\{d_1, \ldots, d_r\}$, $d_i \in A$, such that:

$$\forall d \in A : J, \quad \exists a_i \in A : \quad d = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i d_i.$$

 $\Rightarrow p$ has not weakly coprime factorizations

p does not admit coprime factorizations & *p* is not internally stabilizable (similar results hold over $A = A(\mathbb{D})$)

Interpolation problem

• Proposition: $p \in Q(A)$ is internally stabilizable iff there exists $b \in A$ such that

$$1 + b p \in A : J = \{ d \in A \mid d p \in A \},$$

i.e.
$$\begin{cases} 1 + b p \in A, \\ (1 + b p) p \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then, c = b/(1 + bp) is a stabilizing controller, b = c/(1 - pc) and:

$$H(p,c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -p \\ -c & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+bp & p+bp^2 \\ b & 1+bp \end{pmatrix}$$

• Corollary: If $p \in Q(A)$ admits a weakly coprime factorization p = n/d, then p is internally stabilizable iff there exists $b \in A$ such that $1 + b p \in (d)$.

Therefore, at any pole of p of order m in $\text{Re} s \ge 0$, 1 + b p and b have at least m zeros.

• <u>Remark</u>: The previous results can be tracked back to G. Zames-B.A. Francis (83) for $A = RH_{\infty}$.

• Let us consider $A = H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and:

$$p = \frac{e^{-s}}{(s-1)} = \frac{\frac{e^{-s}}{(s+1)}}{\frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)}} \in K = Q(A).$$

• Let us define the **fractional ideal** J = (1, p) of A

$$\Rightarrow A : J = \{ d \in A \mid d p \in A \} = \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right),$$

because A is a **GCDD** and gcd $\left(\frac{e^{-s}}{s+1}, \frac{s-1}{s+1}\right) = 1.$

• p is internally stabilizable iff $\exists a, b \in A : J$ s.t.:

$$a - b p = 1 \Leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in A :$$

$$\begin{cases}
a = \frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)}x, \\
b = \frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)}y, \\
a - b p = 1.
\end{cases}$$

$$b = \frac{(a-1)}{p} = \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right) \left(\frac{(s-1)x - (s+1)}{e^{-s}}\right)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow y = \frac{(s-1)x - (s+1)}{e^{-s}}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow x = \frac{(s+1) + e^{-s}y}{s-1}$$

 $\Rightarrow ((s+1) + e^{-s} y(s))(1) = 0 \Rightarrow y(1) = -2e.$

• Taking
$$y(s) = y(1) = -2e \in A$$
, then:

$$x = \frac{(s+1)-2e^{-(s-1)}}{s-1} = 1 + 2\left(\frac{1-e^{-(s-1)}}{s-1}\right) \in A.$$

• Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{cases} a = \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right) \left(1 + 2\left(\frac{1-e^{-(s-1)}}{s-1}\right)\right) \in A : J, \\ b = -2e \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right) \in A : J, \\ a - b p = 1, \qquad (\star) \end{cases}$$

 \Rightarrow a stabilizing controller *c* of *p* is defined by:

$$c = \frac{b}{a} = -\frac{2e(s-1)}{(s-1)+2(1-e^{-(s-1)})} = -\frac{2e(s-1)}{s+1-2e^{-(s-1)}}.$$

• J = (1, p) is invertible, $J^{-1} = A : J = \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right)$

$$\Rightarrow J = (J^{-1})^{-1} = \left(\frac{s+1}{s-1}\right) \text{ is principal}$$
$$\Rightarrow p = \frac{\frac{e^{-s}}{(s+1)}}{\frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)}} \text{ is a coprime factorization:}$$

$$(\star) \quad \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right) \left(1+2\left(\frac{1-e^{-(s-1)}}{s-1}\right)\right) + \left(2e\left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right)\right) p = 1,$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right) \left(1+2\left(\frac{1-e^{-(s-1)}}{s-1}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{e^{-s}}{s+1}\right) (-2e) = 1,$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = 1 + 2\left(\frac{1 - e^{-(s-1)}}{s-1}\right) \in A, \\ y = -2e \in A, \\ dx - ny = 1. \end{cases}$$

• Let $A = H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and K = Q(A).

• Let us consider the plant $p = \frac{(1+e^{-2s})}{(1-e^{-2s})} \in K$.

• We have $J = (1, p) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-2s}}\right)$ because:

$$\begin{cases} 1 = (1 - e^{-2s}) \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2s})}, \\ p = \frac{1 + e^{-2s}}{1 - e^{-2s}} = (1 + e^{-2s}) \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2s})}, \\ \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2s})} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + e^{-2s})}{(1 - e^{-2s})}. \end{cases}$$

 \Rightarrow *p* admits the **coprime factorization**:

$$\begin{cases} p = \frac{(1+e^{-2s})}{(1-e^{-2s})}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(1-e^{-2s}) + \frac{1}{2}(1+e^{-2s}) = 1. \end{cases}$$

 $\Rightarrow c = -1$ is a stable stabilizing controller of p.

• We check that $1 - pc = 1 + p = \frac{2}{(1 - e^{-2s})}$ $\Rightarrow J = (1, p) = (1/(1 - e^{-2s})) = (1 - pc).$

- "IS" stands for "internally stabilized/-zable".
- "CF" stands for "coprime factorization".
- Proposition: Let $\delta \in A$, $p, c \in Q(A)$.
- ▲ If p is IS by c, then p admits a CF \Leftrightarrow c admits a CF.
- ▲ p is IS and p admits a weakly CF \Leftrightarrow p admits a CF.
- ▲ p is IS by $c \Leftrightarrow p + \delta$ is IS by $c/(1 + \delta c)$.
- ▲ p is IS by $c \Leftrightarrow p/(1 + \delta p)$ is IS by $c + \delta$.
- $\blacktriangle p \text{ is IS by } c \Leftrightarrow 1/p \text{ is IS by } 1/c.$
- \blacktriangle p is IS and p admits a weakly CF \Leftrightarrow p admits a CF.

▲ *p* is externally stabilized by *c* (i.e. $pc/(1-pc) \in A$) $\Leftrightarrow (1, pc) = (1 - pc)$.

$$\blacktriangle p = \frac{n}{d}, c = \frac{s}{r} \operatorname{CF.} p \text{ is IS by } c \Leftrightarrow dr - ns \in \operatorname{U}(A).$$

▲ p is IB by $c \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{div}(1, p) + \operatorname{div}(1, c) = \operatorname{div}(1 - pc)$.

$$(\mathcal{D}(A) \triangleq \mathcal{F}(A) / \sim, \quad "I \sim J \Leftrightarrow A : I = A : J",$$

div $I = I + \sim).$

 \blacktriangle 0 is IS by $c \Leftrightarrow c \in A \dots$

Robust stabilization

• $c \in K = Q(A)$ internally stabilizes $p \in K$ iff: (1, p) (1, c) = (1 - pc).

• Let $\delta \in A$. c internally stabilizes p and $p + \delta$ iff $\begin{cases} (1, p) (1, c) = (1 - pc), \\ (1, p + \delta) (1, c) = (1 - (p + \delta) c). \end{cases}$ $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (1, p) (1, c) = (1 - pc), \\ (1, p) (1, c) = (1 - (p + \delta) c). \end{cases}$ $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (1, p) (1, c) = (1 - pc), \\ (\frac{1 - (p + \delta) c}{1 - pc}) = (1 - \frac{\delta c}{1 - pc}) = A, \end{cases}$

 $\Leftrightarrow c \text{ stabilizes } p \text{ and } (1 - \delta c) / (1 - p c) \in U(A).$

• If A is a **Banach algebra**, then (small gain thm):

$$\parallel 1 - a \parallel_A < 1 \Rightarrow a \in \mathsf{U}(A).$$

 \Rightarrow a sufficient condition of robust stabilization:

$$\| \delta \|_A < (\| c/(1-pc) \|_A)^{-1}$$

Parametrizations

• <u>Theorem</u>: Let $p \in Q(A)$ be a stabilizable plant and J = (1, p). Then, all stabilizing controllers of p have the form

$$c(q_1, q_2) = \frac{b + a^2 q_1 + b^2 q_2}{a + a^2 p q_1 + b^2 p q_2}, \quad (\star)$$
$$\forall q_1, q_2 \in A : a + a^2 p q_1 + b^2 p q_2 \neq 0,$$

where $c_* = b/a$ is a stabilizing controller of p, i.e.

$$a - b p = 1, \quad a p \in A,$$

and $a = 1/(1 - p c_*), \quad b = c_*/(1 - p c_*).$

1. (*) has only one free parameter

$$\Leftrightarrow p^2$$
 admits a coprime factorization $p^2 = s/r$

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow c(q) = \frac{b+rq}{a+rpq}, \quad q \in A : a+rpq \neq 0.$$

2. If p admits a coprime factorization p = n/d,

$$0 \neq d, n \in A, dx - ny = 1,$$
 then:

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow c(q) = \frac{y + d q}{x + n q}, \quad \forall q \in A : \ x + n q \neq 0.$$
$$(a = d x, \quad b = d y, \quad r = d^2)$$
Youla-Kučera parametrization

• Let us consider $A = \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}], K = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{5})$ and: $p = (1 + i\sqrt{5})/2 \in K$

"On stabilization and existence of coprime factorizations", V. Anantharam, IEEE TAC 30 (1985), 1030-1031.

- Let us define the fractional ideal J = (1, p).
- Using the relation in A

$$2 \times 3 = (1 + i\sqrt{5})(1 - i\sqrt{5}) = 6,$$

$$\Rightarrow p = (1 + i\sqrt{5})/2 = 3/(1 - i\sqrt{5}).$$

 \Rightarrow A : J = (2, 1 - i\sqrt{5}) is not a principal ideal.

$\Rightarrow p$ does not admit a (weakly) coprime factorization.

 \Rightarrow \nexists Youla-Kučera parametrization.

•
$$J(A:J) = (2, 1 + i\sqrt{5}, 1 - i\sqrt{5}, 3) = A$$
:
 $-2 + 3 = -2 - (-1 + i\sqrt{5}) p = 1$
 $\Rightarrow c = (1 - i\sqrt{5})/2$ internally stabilizes p .

•
$$J^{-2} = (A : J)^2 = (2, 1 - i\sqrt{5})^2 = (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow c(q) = \frac{1 - i\sqrt{5} - 2q}{2 - (1 + i\sqrt{5})q}, \quad \forall q \in A.$$

• Let us consider the ring $A = \mathbb{R}[x^2, x^3]$ of discrete time delay systems without the unit delay.

• A has been used for high-speed circuits, computer memory devices... (K. Mori).

- Let us consider $p = (1 x^3)/(1 x^2) \in Q(A)$.
- Let us consider the fractional ideal J = (1, p).
- Using the relation in A

 $(1-x^3)(1+x^3) = (1-x^2)(1+x^2+x^4),$ we have:

$$p = \frac{(1-x^3)}{(1-x^2)} = \frac{(1+x^2+x^4)}{(1+x^3)}.$$

 $\Rightarrow A : J = (1 - x^2, 1 + x^3)$ is not principal because $x + 1 \notin A$.

 $\Rightarrow p$ does not admit a weakly coprime factorization.

$\Rightarrow p$ does not admit a coprime factorization

 \Rightarrow we cannot parametrize all stabilizing controllers of p by means of the Youla-Kučera parametrization.

•
$$J(A:J) = (1-x^2, 1+x^3, 1-x^3, 1+x^2+x^4)$$

 $\Rightarrow (1+x^3)/2 + (1-x^3)/2 = 1 \in J(A:J)$
 $\Rightarrow p \text{ is internally stabilizable and } J^{-1} = A:J.$
• $(1+x^3)/2 + (1-x^3)/2 = 1 \in J(A:J)$
 \Leftrightarrow
 $(1+x^3)/2 + ((1-x^2)/2) p = 1$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a = (1+x^3)/2 \in J^{-1}, \\ b = -(1-x^2)/2 \in J^{-1}, \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow c = b/a = -(1 - x^2)/(1 + x^3)$$

internally stabilizes *p*.

• $J^{-2} = ((1 - x^2)^2, (1 + x^3)^2)$ is not principal ideal of $A (x + 1 \notin A)$.

\bullet All stabilizing controllers of p have the form

$$c(q_1, q_2) = \frac{-(1-x^2) + (1-x^2)^2 q_1 + (1+x^3)^2 q_2}{(1+x^3) + (1-x^2) (1-x^3) q_1 + (1+x^3) (1+x^2+x^4) q_2}$$

for all $q_1, q_2 \in A$ such that the denominator exists.

Smith predictor

• $p = p_0 e^{-\tau s}$, where $p_0 \in RH_\infty$ and $\tau \ge 0$.

• $p \in H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+) \Rightarrow p = n/d$, $n = p_0 e^{-\tau s}$, d = 1, is a coprime factorization.

• The Youla-Kučera parametrization of all stabilizing controllers of p is given by:

$$c(q) = \frac{q}{1 + q p_0 e^{-\tau s}}, \quad \forall q \in H_\infty(\mathbb{C}_+).$$

• Let $c_0 \in RH_\infty$ be a stabilizing controller of $p_0 \in RH_\infty$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{q} \triangleq \frac{c_0}{(1 - p_0 c_0)} \in RH_{\infty} \subseteq H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+).$$

• The stabilizing controller of p

 $c(\tilde{q}) = \frac{c_0}{1 + p_0 c_0 (e^{-\tau s} - 1)} = \frac{c_0}{1 - c_0 (p_0 - p)}$

is called the **Smith predictor**.

• The complementary sensitivity transfer function has the form

$$t(\tilde{q}) = \frac{p c(\tilde{q})}{1 - p c(\tilde{q})} = \left(\frac{p_0 c_0}{1 - p_0 c_0}\right) e^{-\tau s},$$

showing that the Smith predictor **rejects the delay** $e^{-\tau s}$ **outside the closed-loop** formed by p_0 and c_0 .

Picard group

• <u>Definition</u>: Let $\mathcal{P}(A)$ be the group of non-zero principal fractional ideals of A:

 $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{(k) \triangleq A \, k \mid 0 \neq k \in K\}.$

Let $\mathcal{I}(A)$ be the group of non-zero invertible fractional ideals of A:

$$\mathcal{I}(A) = \{ J \in \mathcal{F}(A) \mid \exists I \in \mathcal{F}(A) : I J = A \}.$$

The **Picard group** of *A* is the defined by:

$$\mathcal{C}(A) = \mathcal{I}(A) / \mathcal{P}(A)$$

• <u>Proposition</u>: If $C(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then every stabilizable plant $p \in Q(A)$ has a parametrization of all its stabilizing controllers with only one free parameter.

If $C(A) \cong 1$, then every stabilizable plant $p \in Q(A)$ has a **Youla-Ku**čera parametrization (e.g. $H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, RH_{∞} , Bézout domains).

Convexity of H(p, c)

• Let $p \in Q(A)$ be an internally stabilizable plant and c_* a **particular stabilizing controller** of p.

• All stabilizing controllers of p are given by

$$c(q_1, q_2) = \frac{(1 - pc_*)c_* + q_1 + q_2 c_*^2}{(1 - pc_*) + q_1 p + q_2 pc_*^2}$$

 $\forall q_1, q_2 : (1 - pc_*) + q_1 p + q_2 p c_*^2 \neq 0.$

• The closed-loop system

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-pc} & \frac{p}{1-pc} \\ \frac{c}{1-pc} & \frac{1}{1-pc} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

becomes:

$$H(p, c(q_1, q_2)) = \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{1-pc_*} + q_1 \frac{p}{(1-pc_*)^2} + q_2 \frac{pc_*^2}{(1-pc_*)^2} & \frac{c_*}{1-pc_*} + q_1 \frac{1}{(1-pc_*)^2} + q_2 \frac{c_*^2}{(1-pc_*)^2} \\ \frac{p}{1-pc_*} + q_1 \frac{p^2}{(1-pc_*)^2} + q_2 \frac{(pc_*)^2}{(1-pc_*)^2} & \frac{1}{1-pc_*} + q_1 \frac{p}{(1-pc_*)^2} + q_2 \frac{pc_*^2}{(1-pc_*)^2} \end{array} \right)$$

• $H(p, c(q_1, q_2) \text{ is convex in } q_1, q_2 \in A: \forall \lambda \in A,$

$$H(p, c(\lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda) q'_1, \lambda q_2 + (1 - \lambda) q'_2)) = \\\lambda H(p, c(q_1, q_2)) + (1 - \lambda) H(p, c(q'_1, q'_2)).$$

Sensitivity minimization

- Let A be a Banach algebra (H_{∞} , $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, W_{+} ,...)
- Let $p \in K = Q(A)$ be a stabilizable plant, then

where $a, b \in A$ satisfy a - b p = 1, $a p \in A$, and $c_{\star} = b/a$ is a stabilizing controller of p.

• 1. If p = n/d is a coprime factorization of p $dx - ny = 1, \quad x, y \in A,$ $\Rightarrow a = dx, \quad b = dy,$ $\Rightarrow a + a^2 p q_1 + b^2 p q_2 = d (x + q n),$ $q = x^2 q_1 + y^2 q_2.$

2. $\forall \in A, \exists q_1, q_2 \in A : q = x^2 q_1 + y^2 q_2,$ with $q_1 = d^2 (1 - 2ny) q, q_2 = n^2 (1 + 2dx) q,$ $\left[(d^2 (1 - 2ny)) x^2 + (n^2 (1 + 2dx)) y^2 = 1 \right].$ $(\star) \Leftrightarrow \inf_{q \in A} \| w d (x + nq) \|_A.$

Conclusion

I. Summary:

• We generalized the Youla-Kučera parametrization for SISO stabilizable plants.

- This parametrization **does not assume the exis**tence of coprime factorizations.
- II. General comments:

When does a stabilizable plant admit a coprime factorization?

• We proved that this problem is equivalent to:

When is an invertible fractional ideal principal?

- This is a **difficult problem** studied in:
- algebra: algebraic K-theory (Serre's conjecture (55)
- $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, solved by Quillen-Suslin (76)),
- number theory: number fields,
- algebraic geometry: function fields,
- topology: triviality of vector bundles,
- **operator theory**: topological *K*-theory (C^* -algebra).

this problem could be difficult for \widehat{A} , W_{+} ...

Well-known results

• <u>Theorem</u>: (Morse, Vidyasagar) Every transfer matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}(s)^{q \times r}$ admits a **doubly coprime factorization** over RH_{∞} , i.e.:

$$P = D^{-1} N = \tilde{N} \tilde{D}^{-1},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} D & -N \\ -\tilde{Y} & \tilde{X} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & \tilde{N} \\ Y & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} = I,$$

where $D, N, \tilde{N}, \tilde{N}, X, Y, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in M(RH_{\infty})$.

• <u>Theorem</u>: (Youla, Kučera, Desoer) All stabilizing controllers of $P \in \mathbb{R}(s)^{q \times r}$ have the form:

 $C(Q) = (\tilde{X} - QN)^{-1} (\tilde{Y} - QD) = (Y + \tilde{D}Q) (X + \tilde{N}Q)^{-1}$

for every $Q \in RH_{\infty}^{r \times q}$ such that:

 $\det(\tilde{Y} - QN) \neq 0, \quad \det(X - \tilde{N}Q) \neq 0.$

• Interest: Find the controllers $C \in \mathbb{R}(s)^{r \times q}$ s.t.:

$$\inf_{C \in \text{Stab}(P)} \| W_1 (I - PC)^{-1} W_2 \|_{\infty},$$

Stab(P) = { $C \in \mathbb{R}(s)^{r \times q} | (I - PC)^{-1}, (I - PC)^{-1} P, C(I - PC)^{-1}, C(I - PC)^{-1} P \in M(RH_{\infty})$ }

This **non-linear problem** becomes the **convex** one:

$$\inf_{Q \in RH_{\infty}^{r \times q}} \| W_1 \left(X + \tilde{N} Q \right) D W_2 \|_{\infty} .$$

Fractional representation approach

• Let A be an integral domain and K its quotient field $Q(A) = \{n/d \mid 0 \neq d, n \in A\}.$

• <u>Definition</u>: $P \in M(K)$ has a **doubly coprime** factorization over A if there exist

$$\exists D, N, \tilde{D}, \tilde{N}, X, Y, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in M(A) \text{ such that:} P = D^{-1} N = \tilde{N} \tilde{D}^{-1}, \begin{pmatrix} D & -N \\ -\tilde{Y} & \tilde{X} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & \tilde{N} \\ Y & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} = I.$$

• <u>Definition</u>: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ is *A*-internally stabilizable if $\exists C \in K^{r \times q}$ such that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_q & -P \\ -C & I_r \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (I_q - PC)^{-1} & (I_q - PC)^{-1}P \\ (I_r - CP)^{-1}C & (I_r - CP)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M(A).$$

existence of a doubly coprime factorization over $A \Rightarrow A$ -internal stabilizability.

 $\Rightarrow P \in M(\mathbb{R}(s))$ is RH_{∞} -internally stabilizable.

• <u>Theorem</u>: (Smith) If $A = H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, then:

$$H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$$
-internal stabilizability
 \Leftrightarrow
existence of doubly coprime factorizations
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ Youla-Kučera parametrization.

Open questions

• Does *A*-internal stabilizability imply the existence of doubly coprime factorizations over:

$$A = \hat{A} = \{\mathcal{L}(f)(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i e^{-t_i s} | f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \\ (a_i)_{i \ge 0} \in l_1(\mathbb{Z}_+), \ 0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \ldots\},$$
(ring of BIBO-stable time-invariant systems)
$$A = W_+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i | \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} |a_i| < +\infty \right\},$$
(ring of BIBO-stable causal digital filters)
$$A = M_{\mathbb{D}^n} = \{r/s | 0 \neq s, r \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \\ s(\underline{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{x} \notin \mathbb{D}^n \}$$
(ring of *nD* systems with structural stability)...?

• If it is not the case:

Is it possible to parametrize all stabilizing controllers of a stabilizable plant which does not admit doubly coprime factorizations?

• In this talk, we shall solve the last question.

Lattices

• Let V be a finite-dimensional K-vector space.

• <u>Definition</u>: An *A*-submodule *M* of *V* is a **lattice of** *V* if $\exists L_1, L_2$ two **free** *A*-submodules of *V* s.t.:

$$\begin{cases} L_1 \subseteq M \subseteq L_2, \\ \mathsf{rk}_A(L_1) = \dim_K(V). \end{cases}$$

• Example: The lattices of V = K are just the nonzero fractional ideals of A.

• Proposition: An A-submodule M of V is a lattice of V iff

$$\begin{cases} KM \triangleq \{km \mid k \in K, m \in M\} = V, \\ M \subseteq P, \end{cases}$$

where P is a finitely generated A-submodule of V.

• Example: Let $P \in K^{q \times r}$, then the *A*-module $(I_q : -P) A^{q+r}$

is a **lattice of** the K-vector space K^q .

• Example: Let $P \in K^{q \times r}$, then the A-module

$$A^{1 \times (q+r)} \left(\begin{array}{c} P\\ I_r \end{array}\right)$$

is a **lattice of** the *K*-vector space $K^{1 \times r}$.

Lattices

- Let V and W be finite-dimensional K-vector spaces.
- Let M (resp. N) be a lattice of V (resp. W).
- <u>Definition</u>: N : M is the A-submodule of

hom_K(V,W) = { $f : V \to W | f$ is a K-linear map} formed by the K-linear maps $f : V \to W$ which satisfy $f(M) \subseteq N$.

• Proposition: 1. N : M is a lattice of hom_K(V, W).

2. The map

$$N: M \rightarrow \operatorname{hom}_{A}(M, N) = \{f: M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is a } A - \operatorname{linear map}\},$$

 $f \mapsto f_{\mid M},$

is bijective.

• Example: Let $P \in K^{q \times r}$ and $M = (I_q : -P) A^{q+r}$. Then, we have:

$$A: M = \{f: K^q \to K \mid f(M) \subseteq A\}$$

= $\{\lambda \in K^{1 \times q} \mid \lambda (I_q: -P) A^{q+r} \subseteq A\}$
= $\{\lambda \in K^{1 \times q} \mid \lambda \in A^{1 \times q}, \ \lambda P \in A^{1 \times r}\}$
= $\{\lambda \in A^{1 \times q} \mid \lambda P \in A^{1 \times r}\}.$

Weakly coprime factorizations

• <u>Definition</u>: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits a weakly left-coprime factorization if $\exists R = (D : -N) \in A^{q \times (q+r)}$ s.t.:

$$P = D^{-1} N,$$
$$\forall \lambda \in K^{1 \times q} : \lambda R \in A^{1 \times (q+r)} \Rightarrow \lambda \in A^{1 \times q}.$$

• <u>Definition</u>: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits a weakly rightcoprime factorization if $\exists \tilde{R} = (\tilde{N}^T : \tilde{D}^T)^T \in A^{(q+r) \times r}$ such that:

$$P = \tilde{N} \, \tilde{D}^{-1},$$
$$\forall \, \lambda \in K^r : \, \tilde{R} \, \lambda \in A^p \Rightarrow \lambda \in A^r.$$

• Proposition: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits a weakly leftcoprime factorization iff $\exists D \in A^{q \times q}$ such that

$$A: ((I_q: -P) A^{q+r}) = \{\lambda \in A^{1 \times q} | \lambda P \in A^{1 \times r} \}$$
$$= A^{1 \times q} D,$$

i.e. is a free lattice of $K^{1 \times q}$.

• Proposition: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits a weakly rightcoprime factorization iff $\exists \tilde{D} \in A^{r \times r}$ such that

$$A: \left(A^{1\times (q+r)} \left(\begin{array}{c}P\\I_{p-q}\end{array}\right)\right) = \{\lambda \in A^r \mid P \lambda \in A^q\}$$
$$= \tilde{D} A^r,$$

i.e. is free lattice of K^r .

Coprime factorizations

• Let A be an integral domain and K = Q(A).

• Proposition: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits the left-coprime factorization

$$P = D^{-1} N, \quad D X - N Y = I_q,$$

iff $\exists D \in A^{q \times q}$ such that

$$(I_q: -P) A^{q+r} \triangleq \{\lambda_1 - P \lambda_2 \mid \lambda_1 \in A^q, \lambda_2 \in A^r\} \\= D^{-1} A^q,$$

i.e. iff $(I_q : -P) A^p$ is a free lattice of K^q .

• Proposition: If $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits a **right-coprime** factorization

$$P = \tilde{N} \, \tilde{D}^{-1}, \quad -\tilde{Y} \, X + \tilde{X} \, \tilde{D} = I_r,$$

iff $\exists \ \tilde{D} \in A^{r \times r}$ such that

$$A^{1 \times (q+r)} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ I_r \end{pmatrix} \triangleq \{\lambda_1 P + \lambda_2 | \\ (\lambda_1 : \lambda_2) \in A^{1 \times (q+r)} \}$$
$$= A^{1 \times (q+r)} \tilde{D}^{-1},$$

i.e. iff $A^{1 \times (q+r)} (P^T : I_r)^T$ is a free lattice of $K^{1 \times r}$.

Stabilizability

• <u>Theorem</u>: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ is **internally stabilizable** iff one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. $(I_q : -P) A^{q+r}$ is a **projective lattice of** K^q , namely $\exists A$ -module M such that:

$$(I_q: -P) A^{q+r} \oplus M \cong A^{q+r}.$$

2. $A^{1 \times (q+r)} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ I_r \end{pmatrix}$ is a **projective lattice of** $K^{1 \times r}$, namely \exists *A*-module *N* such that:

$$A^{1 \times (q+r)} \left(\begin{array}{c} P \\ I_r \end{array} \right) \oplus N \cong A^{1 \times (q+r)}.$$

• Let $R = (I_q : -P)$, $Q = \begin{pmatrix} P \\ I_r \end{pmatrix}$, p = q + r, then we have the following **split exact sequences**:

$$0 \longleftarrow (I_q: -P) A^p \xleftarrow{R.} A^p \xleftarrow{Q.} A: \left(A^{1 \times p} \left(\begin{array}{c}P\\I_r\end{array}\right)\right) \longleftarrow 0,$$
$$\xrightarrow{S.} \xrightarrow{T.}$$

 $\Rightarrow \Pi_1 = SR, \ \Pi_2 = QT$ are **projectors** of $A^{p \times p}$.

Stabilizability

• <u>Theorem</u>: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ is **internally stabilizable** iff one of the following conditions is satisfied:

 C_1 . $\exists S = (U^T : V^T)^T \in A^{(q+r) \times q}$ such that:

$$SP = \begin{pmatrix} UP\\VP \end{pmatrix} \in A^{(q+r)\times r},$$

 $(I_q: -P)S = U - PV = I_q.$

Then, $C = V U^{-1}$ is a stabilizing controller of *P*.

C₂. $\exists T = (-X : Y) \in A^{r \times (q+r)}$ such that:

$$PT = (PX : PY) \in A^{q \times (q+r)},$$
$$T\begin{pmatrix} P\\I_r \end{pmatrix} = -XP + Y = I_r.$$

Then, $C' = Y^{-1} X$ is a stabilizing controller of *P*.

• Proposition: If *P* is **internally stabilizable**, then $\exists \overline{S \in A^{(q+r)} \times q}, T \in A^{r \times (q+r)}$ satisfying C_1, C_2 ,

$$TS = -XU + YV = 0,$$

i.e. \exists a stabilizing controller of *P* of the form:

$$C = V U^{-1} = Y^{-1} X.$$

• Let us consider the transfer matrix ($A = H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{+})$):

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-s}}{s-1} \\ \frac{e^{-s}}{(s-1)^2} \end{pmatrix} \in K^2, \quad K = Q(A).$$

• The matrix $S = (U^T : V^T)^T \in A^{3 \times 2}$ defined by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{s+1} + b \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right)^3 & 2b \left(\frac{s-1}{s+1}\right)^3 - 2\frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)} \\ b \frac{(s-1)^2}{(s+1)^3} - \frac{1}{s+1} & \frac{s-1}{s+1} + 2b \frac{(s-1)}{(s+1)^3} \\ -a \frac{(s-1)^2}{(s+1)^3} & -2a \frac{(s-1)^2}{(s+1)^3} \end{pmatrix}$$

with
$$\begin{cases} a = \frac{4 e (5 s - 3)}{(s+1)} \in A, \\ b = \frac{(s+1)^3 - 4 (5 s - 3) e^{-(s-1)}}{(s+1) (s-1)^2} \in A, \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} SP \in A^{3 \times 1}, \\ (I_2 : -P) S = U - P V = I_2, \end{cases}$$

 \Rightarrow *P* is **internally stabilized** by the controller:

$$C = V U^{-1}$$

= $-\frac{4(5s-3)e(s-1)^2}{(s+1)((s+1)^3-4(5s-3)e^{-(s-1)})} (1:2).$

Stabilizability

• Corollary: $P \in K^{q \times r}$ is internally stabilized by the controller $C \in K^{r \times q}$ iff one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. The matrix

$$\Pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (I_q - PC)^{-1} & -(I_q - PC)^{-1}P \\ C(I_q - PC)^{-1} & -C(I_q - PC)^{-1}P \end{pmatrix}$$

is a projector of $A^{(q+r)\times(q+r)}$, i.e.:

$$\Pi_1^2 = \Pi_1 \in A^{(q+r) \times (q+r)}.$$

2. The matrix

$$\Pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -P(I_{p-q} - CP)^{-1}C & P(I_{p-q} - CP)^{-1} \\ -(I_{p-q} - CP)^{-1}C & (I_{p-q} - CP)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a **projector of** $A^{(q+r)\times(q+r)}$, i.e.:

$$\Pi_2^2 = \Pi_2 \in A^{(q+r) \times (q+r)}.$$

Then, we have

$$\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 = I_{q+r}.$$

• <u>Remark</u>: This result was known for $A = H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$. The **robustness radius** is defined by (loop-shaping):

 $b_{P,C} \triangleq \parallel \Pi_1 \parallel_{\infty}^{-1} = \parallel \Pi_2 \parallel_{\infty}^{-1}.$

Stabilizability

• Fact 1: P admits a doubly coprime factorization

 $\Leftrightarrow (I_q: -P) A^{q+r} \& A^{1 \times (q+r)} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ I_r \end{pmatrix}$ are free *A*-modules.

• Fact 2: *P* is internally stabilizable

$$\Leftrightarrow (I_q: -P) A^{q+r} \& A^{1 \times (q+r)} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ I_r \end{pmatrix}$$

are projective A-modules.

• Fact 3: A free A-module is projective.

• Corollary:

If $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits a **left-coprime factorization** $P = D^{-1} N$, $D X - N Y = I_q$, then $S = ((X D)^T : (Y D)^T)^T$ satisfies C_1 $\Rightarrow C = (Y D) (X D)^{-1} = Y X^{-1} \in \text{Stab}(P)$.

If $P \in K^{q \times r}$ admits a **right-coprime factorization**

$$P = \tilde{N} \tilde{D}^{-1}, \quad -\tilde{Y} X + \tilde{X} \tilde{D} = I_r,$$

then $T = (-\tilde{D} \tilde{Y} : \tilde{D} \tilde{X})$ satisfies C_2
 $\Rightarrow C = (\tilde{D} \tilde{X})^{-1} (\tilde{D} \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{Y} \in \text{Stab}(P).$

Structural stabilizable n-D systems

• $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_i| \le 1, i = 1, ..., n\}$ unit polydisc of \mathbb{C}^n .

• Let A be the ring of **structural stabilizable** n-D **systems**:

$$M_{\overline{\mathbb{D}^n}} = \{ r/s \,|\, 0 \neq s, \, r \in \mathbb{R}[z_1, \dots, z_n], \\ s(\underline{z}) = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{z} \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}^n} \}$$

• Z. Lin's conjecture:

"Determine whether or not an internally stabilizable n-D linear system defined by a transfer matrix P with entries in $\mathbb{R}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ admits a doubly coprime factorization over A".

• <u>Theorem</u>: (Byrnes-Spong-Tarn, Kamen-Khargonekar-Tannenbaum 84): *A* is a projective-free ring.

• <u>Remark</u>: B-S-T & K-K-T obtain this result in their study of **differential time-delay neutral systems**.

• <u>Remark</u>: This result is not trivial: the **proof was** given by **P. Deligne** in K-K-T.

- Corollary: Z. Lin's conjecture is solved.
- Open problem: Effective proof.

Parametrization

• <u>Theorem</u>: Let $P \in K^{q \times r}$ be a stabilizable plant. All stabilizing controllers of P have the form

$$C(Q) = (V + Q) (U + PQ)^{-1}$$

= $(Y + QP)^{-1} (X + Q),$

where C_* is a particular stabilizing controller of P and:

$$\begin{cases} U = (I_q - P C_*)^{-1}, \\ V = C_* (I_q - P C_*)^{-1}, \\ X = (I_r - C_* P)^{-1} C_*, \\ Y = (I_r - C_* P)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

and Q is every matrix which belongs to

 $\Omega = \{ L \in A^{r \times q} \mid LP \in \overline{A^{r \times r}, PL \in A^{q \times q}, PLP \in A^{q \times r} \}$

such that $\det(U+PQ) \neq 0$ and $\det(Y+QP) \neq 0$.

(Ω is a projective *A*-module of rank $q \times r$).

Study of the A-module Ω

• Open question: Find a family of generators of the projective A-module of rank $q \times r$

$$\Omega = \{ L \in A^{r \times q} \mid L P \in A^{r \times r}, P L \in A^{q \times q}, \\ P L P \in A^{q \times r} \},$$

i.e. a finite family $\{L_i\}_{1 \le i \le s}$ such that:

$$\forall L \in \Omega, \exists L = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i L_i, \quad \lambda_i \in A.$$

• Proposition: If $P \in Q(A)^{q \times r}$ admits a weakly left-coprime factorization $P = D^{-1} N$, then:

$$\Omega = \{ L \in A^{r \times q} \mid P L \in A^{q \times q} \} D.$$

• <u>Proposition</u>: If $P \in Q(A)^{q \times r}$ admits a weakly right-coprime factorization $P = \tilde{N} \tilde{D}^{-1}$, then:

$$\Omega = \tilde{D} \{ L \in A^{r \times q} \mid L P \in A^{r \times r} \}.$$

Youla-Kučera parametrization

• Corollary: Let $P \in Q(A)^{q \times r}$ be a plant which admits a **doubly coprime factorization**:

$$\begin{cases} P = D^{-1} N = \tilde{N} \tilde{D}^{-1}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} D & -N \\ -\tilde{Y} & \tilde{X} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & \tilde{N} \\ Y & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} = I_{q+r}. \end{cases}$$

Then, the A-module

$$\Omega = \{ L \in A^{r \times q} \mid L P \in A^{r \times r}, P L \in A^{q \times q}, \\ P L P \in A^{q \times r} \}$$

is the **free** *A***-module** defined by:

$$\Omega = \tilde{D} A^{r \times q} D$$

= { $L \in A^{r \times q} | L = \tilde{D} R D, \forall R \in A^{r \times q}$ }.

\Rightarrow All stabilizing controllers of P have the form

 $C(Q) = (Y + \tilde{D}Q) (X + \tilde{N}Q)^{-1} = (\tilde{X} + QN)^{-1} (\tilde{Y} + QD),$

where $Q \in A^{r \times q}$ is every matrix such that:

 $\det(X + \tilde{N}Q) \neq 0, \quad \det(\tilde{X} + QN) \neq 0.$

• Let A be a Banach algebra $(H_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+), \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, W_+, \dots)$

• Let $P \in Q(A)^{q \times r}$ be a stabilizable plant, then

$$\inf_{C \in \mathsf{Stab}(P)} \| W_1 (I_q - PC)^{-1} W_2 \|_A$$

=
$$\inf_{Q \in \Omega} \| W_1 (U + PQ) W_2 \|_A (\star),$$

(convex problem)

 $C_{\star} = V U^{-1}$ is a stabilizing controller of *P* and: $U = (I_q - P C_{\star})^{-1}, \quad V = C_{\star} (I_q - P C_{\star})^{-1}.$

• If P admits a doubly coprime factorization

$$\begin{cases} P = D^{-1} N = \tilde{N} \tilde{D}^{-1}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} D & -N \\ -\tilde{Y} & \tilde{X} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & \tilde{N} \\ Y & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} = I_{q+r}. \\ \end{cases}$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} Q \in \Omega = \tilde{D} A^{r \times q} D, \\ U + P Q = X D + \tilde{N} \tilde{D}^{-1} (\tilde{D} R D), \\ = (X + \tilde{N} R) D, \end{cases}$$

 $(\star) \Leftrightarrow \inf_{R \in A^{r \times q}} \| W_1 (X + \tilde{N}R) D W_2 \|_A.$

Conclusion

I. Summary:

• We generalized the Youla-Kučera parametrization for MIMO stabilizable plants.

• This parametrization **does not assume the exis**tence of doubly coprime factorizations.

II. General comments:

When does a stabilizable plant admit a doubly coprime factorization?

• We proved that this problem is equivalent to:

When is a projective *A*-module free?

- This is a **difficult problem** studied for years in:
- algebra: algebraic *K*-theory (Serre's conjecture (55)
- $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, solved by Quillen-Suslin (76)),
- number theory: number fields,
- algebraic geometry: function fields,
- topology: triviality of vector bundles,
- **operator theory**: topological K-theory (C^* -algebra).

this problem could be difficult for \hat{A} , W_+ ...