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## Differential Algebra



- Joseph Fels Ritt wrote Differential Equations from an Algebraic Standpoint (1932) and Differential Algebra (1950).
- Ellis Robert Kolchin wrote Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups (1973).


## (2) Parameter Estimation

(3) Conclusion

## The Problem

- Parametric version of a steering wheel model by Hairer, Wanner (1996). Nonlinear DAE has differentiation index 2.
- The ODE $\dot{z}(t)=$ something is missing.

The unknowns are three functions $x(t), y(t)$ and $z(t)$.

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) & =a y(t)+\sin (b z(t)) \\
\dot{y}(t) & =2 a x(t)+\cos (b z(t)) \\
0 & =x(t)^{2}+y(t)^{2}-1
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

- The DAE implies the following constraint. Before numerical integration, one needs consistent initial values.

$$
x(t)^{4}=-\frac{2 \cos (b z(t))}{3 a}\left(x(t)^{3}-x(t)\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{9 a^{2}}\right) x(t)^{2}+\frac{\cos (b z(t))^{2}}{9 a^{2}}
$$

## Differential Polynomial Reformulation

Define

$$
s(t)=\sin (b z(t)), \quad c(t)=\cos (b z(t))
$$

The two new unknown functions $s(t)$ and $c(t)$ are subject to :

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{s}(t) & =b \dot{z}(t) c(t), \\
1 & =s(t)^{2}+c(t)^{2} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

One gets a system of five differential polynomials :

$$
\Sigma\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) & =a y(t)+s(t) \\
\dot{y}(t) & =2 a x(t)+c(t) \\
\dot{s}(t) & =b \dot{z}(t) c(t), \\
1 & =x(t)^{2}+y(t)^{2} \\
1 & =s(t)^{2}+c(t)^{2}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## Differential Polynomial (Rings)

A derivation over a ring $\mathscr{R}$ is a unary operation $\delta$ such that

$$
\delta(a+b)=\delta(a)+\delta(b), \quad \delta(a b)=a \delta(b)+\delta(a) b
$$

A ring (or a field) endowed with a derivation is a differential ring (or field). The equations of $\Sigma$ can be viewed as having coefficients in

$$
\mathscr{F}=\mathbb{Q}(a, b) .
$$

They belong to the differential polynomial ring

$$
\mathscr{R}=\mathscr{F}\{x, y, z, s, c\}
$$

which is the ring of all polynomials in the infinite set of all derivatives of the differential indeterminates $x, y, z, s, c$, with coefficients rational fractions in $a, b$.
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But what about
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Start with

$$
1=s(t)^{2}+c(t)^{2} .
$$

Differentiate once

$$
0=2 s(t) \dot{s}(t)+2 c(t) \dot{c}(t)
$$

Substitute $\dot{s}(t) \rightarrow b \dot{z}(t) c(t):$

$$
0=2 s(t) b \dot{z}(t) c(t)+2 c(t) \dot{c}(t) .
$$

Solve w.r.t. $\dot{c}(t)$ :

$$
\dot{c}(t)=-\frac{2 s(t) b \dot{z}(t) c(t)}{2 c(t)}=-b \dot{z}(t) s(t)
$$

## Perfect Differential Ideals

Def. The perfect differential ideal $\{\Sigma\}$ generated by $\Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\Sigma \subset\{\Sigma\}, & A, B \in\{\Sigma\} \Rightarrow A+B \in\{\Sigma\}, & A \in\{\Sigma\}, B \in \mathscr{R} \Rightarrow A B \in\{\Sigma\}, \\
\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, A^{n} \in\{\Sigma\} \Rightarrow A \in\{\Sigma\}, & A \in\{\Sigma\} \Rightarrow \dot{A} \in\{\Sigma\}
\end{array}
$$

Thm of zeros. The ideal $\{\Sigma\}$ is the set of all differential polynomial (equations) that could be added to $\Sigma$ without changing its analytic solutions.

Our computations proved (straightforward) that

$$
2 s(t) b \dot{z}(t) c(t)+2 c(t) \dot{c}(t) \in\{\Sigma\} .
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But what about the division by $2 c(t)$ ?
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Thy of zeros. The ideal $\{\Sigma\}$ is the set of all differential polynomial (equations) that could be added to $\Sigma$ without changing its analytic solutions.

Indeed,

$$
s(t) b \dot{z}(t)+\dot{c}(t) \in\{\Sigma\}
$$

but this does not come from the definition of ideals : one can prove that $2 c(t)$ is not a zero-divisor modulo $\{\Sigma\}$. Had it been a zerodivisor, it would have been convenient to split cases and study :

$$
\{\Sigma\}=\underbrace{\{\Sigma\}: c^{\infty}}_{c \text { does not divide zero here }} \cap \underbrace{\{\Sigma, c\}}_{c \text { is zero here }}
$$
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Which one? The choice is done by fixing a ranking.
Def. A ranking is a total ordering over the infinite set of the derivatives of the differential indeterminates.

Use. Each equation is solved w.r.t. its highest derivative.
Ex. W.r.t. the following ranking

$$
\cdots>\dot{s}(t)>\dot{z}(t)>\dot{c}(t)>s(t)>z(t)>c(t)>a>b
$$

the subtitution is :

$$
\dot{s}(t) \rightarrow b \dot{z}(t) c(t) .
$$
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Question. A dynamical system depends on state variables $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$, an input $u(t)$ and an output $y(t)$. You are looking for the IO equation, i.e. an equation that binds $u(t), y(t)$ and their derivatives but is free of the state variables. Which ranking do you provide to the simplifier?

Answer. A ranking such that any derivative of $y(t)$ and $u(t)$ is lower than any derivative of the state variables.

An example of such a block elimination ranking can be denoted :

$$
[[x 1, x 2],[y, u]] .
$$

## (1) Index Reduction

(2) Parameter Estimation

(3) Conclusion

## An Academic Nonlinear Dynamical System

Three parameters $k_{12}, k_{21}, V_{e}$ to be estimated.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) & =-k_{12} x_{1}(t)+k_{21} x_{2}(t)-\frac{V_{e} x_{1}(t)}{1+x_{1}(t)} \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) & =k_{12} x_{1}(t)-k_{21} x_{2}(t) \\
y(t) & =x_{1}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Estimation Problem




The leftmost curve is obtained by numerically integrating the model equations for $t=[0,4]$, with

$$
\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), k_{12}, k_{21}, V_{e}\right)=(1,10,1,5,3) .
$$

The rightmost one is obtained by adding to it a white Gaussian noise with standard deviation $\sigma=0.2$.

## Computation of the IO Equation

Applying differential elimination over the model equations and a block elimination ranking such as

$$
\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], y,\left[k_{12}, k_{21}, V_{e}\right]\right]
$$

one gets $(\mathrm{IO})_{\text {diff }}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{y}(t) y & (t)^{2}+2 \ddot{y}(t) y(t)+\ddot{y}(t) \\
& +\dot{y}(t) y(t)^{2} \theta_{2}+2 \dot{y}(t) y(t) \theta_{2} \\
& +\dot{y}(t) \theta_{3}+y(t)^{2} \theta_{1}+y(t) \theta_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $\theta_{i}$ stand for the blocks of parameters :

$$
\theta_{1}=k_{21} V_{e}, \quad \theta_{2}=k_{12}+k_{21}, \quad \theta_{3}=k_{12}+k_{21}+V_{e} .
$$

## Where Does [BLRR13] Apply?

By collecting and factoring coefficients, one even gets (IO) diff :

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{21} V_{e} \frac{y(t)}{y(t)+1} \\
+\left(k_{12}+k_{21}\right) \frac{y(t) \dot{y}(t)(y(t)+2)}{(y(t)+1)^{2}} \\
+\left(k_{12}+k_{21}+V_{e}\right) \frac{\dot{y}(t)}{(y(t)+1)^{2}}=-\ddot{y}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

But one can do better than that !

## Where Does [BLRR13] Apply?

By collecting and factoring coefficients, one even gets (IO) diff :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
k_{21} V_{e} \frac{y(t)}{y(t)+1} \\
+\left(k_{12}+k_{21}\right) \frac{y(t) \dot{y}(t)(y(t)+2)}{(y(t)+1)^{2}} \\
+\left(k_{12}+k_{21}+V_{e}\right) \frac{\dot{y}(t)}{(y(t)+1)^{2}}=-\ddot{y}(t)
\end{array}
$$

Our [BLRR13] algorithm rewrites it as :

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{21} V_{e} \frac{y(t)}{y(t)+1} \\
+\left(k_{12}+k_{21}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{y(t)^{2}}{y(t)+1} \\
-\left(k_{12}+k_{21}+V_{e}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{1}{y(t)+1}=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} y(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Where Does [BKLPPU14] Apply?

Because the $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t}$ operator is factored out it is easy to convert the differential equation $(I O)_{\text {diff }}$ into the integral equation $(I O)_{\text {int }}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{21} V_{e} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{y(t)}{y(t)+1} \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} t \\
&+\left(k_{12}+k_{21}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{y(t)^{2}}{y(t)+1} \mathrm{~d} t-\frac{y(0)^{2}}{y(0)+1} t\right) \\
&-\left(k_{12}+k_{21}+V_{e}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{y(t)+1} \mathrm{~d} t-\frac{1}{y(0)+1} t\right) \\
&-\dot{y}(0) t=-y(t)+y(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Viewing $\dot{y}(0)$ as a parameter, no derivative of $y(t)$ occurs anymore.

## Results From [BKLPPU14]



Abscissa : the standard deviation $\sigma$ used to produce the noise.
Ordinate : the relative error of estimated blocks of parameters. Experiments using plain integral equations, modulating functions,
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By the axioms of rankings


The Integrate algorithm starts from a fraction $G$ and tries to recover $F$ such that $G=\dot{F}$ by pattern matching.

## On the Integration Algorithm

A ranking is a total ordering on the derivatives, satisfying some axioms (compatibility conditions with differentiation).

Def. The leader of a differential fraction $F$ is the highest derivative $v$ such that $\partial F / \partial v \neq 0$.

By the axioms of rankings


If $G$ does not match, the Integrate algorithm removes the "smallest" part which prevents the pattern matching.
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The Integrate algorithm is easy for polynomials, difficult for fractions. A flaw in [BLRR13] was fixed in [BKLPPU14].
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## Conclusion

Long Term Goal. Have differential algebra methods present in major scientific computation software.

Software. These methods must be provided in a good software library.

- Simple Programming Interface
- Portability
- Reliability

Speed is not a major concern.
The open source BLAD library is already available.
A new project for a complete redesign and implementation has been started. Temporary nickname : BLATTE.

