

TD1 – Markov jump processes*

Exercise 1 : Explosion of birth processes

Fix a sequence of non-negative rates $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. A birth process is a Markov jump process on \mathbb{N} with rates

$$\ell_{j,j+1} = \lambda_j \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{j,j} = -\lambda_j,$$

started from $X_0 = 1$.

1) For $k \geq 1$, we denote by S_k the time of the process' $k - 1$ -th jump, $S_k = \inf\{t, X_t = k\}$, with $S_1 := 0$, and we denote by $S_\infty = \sup_k S_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_k$ its explosion time. Compute $\mathbb{E}(S_\infty)$, and deduce a criterion for non-explosion in finite time for the markov chain.

2) We now want to prove that if $\sum \frac{1}{\lambda_j} = \infty$, the chain a.s. does not explode in finite time, $\mathbb{P}(S_\infty < \infty) = 0$.

(i) Fix a non-negative sequence $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, after proving that $\forall x > -1$,

$$\frac{x}{1+x} \leq \log(1+x) \leq x$$

show that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\alpha_k} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty.$$

(ii) Compute for $k \geq 2$

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp(-(S_k - S_{k-1}))).$$

(iii) Deduce from it $\mathbb{E}(\exp(-S_\infty))$, and conclude.

ANSWER :

1) We write $S_\infty = \sum_k S_{k+1} - S_k$, whose expectation is therefore $\sum_k \lambda_k^{-1}$. If the sum is finite, then $S_\infty < \infty$ with probability 1, and therefore the chain is explosive. To be non-explosive, we must have $\sum_k \lambda_k^{-1} = \infty$.

2) We now assume that the sum is finite, and prove that a.s. the birth process does not explode in finite time. For x positive,

$$\frac{1}{(1+x)^2} \leq \frac{1}{1+x} \leq 1$$

*For any typo/question, please contact me at clement.erignoux@inria.fr. The exercise sheets will be put on the webpage, <http://chercheurs.lille.inria.fr/cerignou/homepage.html> in the "teaching" section.

and for x negative the inequalities are in the other direction. It is then sufficient to integrate between 0 and x to obtain the wanted bound.

Now, write

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k\right) \leq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_k} = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + \alpha_k)\right) \leq \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{1 + \alpha_k}\right).$$

The left-hand side proves one implication. For the other, write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{1 + \alpha_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{1 + \alpha_k} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_k > 1\}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{1 + \alpha_k} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_k \leq 1\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_k > 1\}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_k \leq 1\}}.$$

If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$, one of those two sums is infinite, which proves the converse implication.

(i) The expectation is equal to

$$\lambda_k \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s} e^{-\lambda_k s} ds = \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_k},$$

with $\alpha_k = 1/\lambda_k$.

(ii) Since the successive holding times are independant, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp(-S_{\infty})) = \prod_k \mathbb{E}(\exp(-(S_k - S_{k-1}))) = \prod_k \lambda_k \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s} e^{-\lambda_k s} ds = \prod_k \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_k}$$

In particular, according to the previous questions,

$$S_{\infty} = \infty \text{ a.s.} \Leftrightarrow \exp(-S_{\infty}) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}(\exp(-S_{\infty})) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$$

□

Exercise 2 : A simple birth process

Fix $\lambda > 0$, we consider a birth process (see previous exercise) with rate $\lambda_j = \lambda j$, started from $X_0 = 1$. Define $p_j(t) = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j)$.

- 1) Write down Kolmogorov forward equations for $p_1(t)$ and $p_j(t)$, $j \geq 2$.
- 2) Show that X_t follows a geometric distribution with parameter $e^{-\lambda t}$. Does the process explode in finite time ?
- 3) Compute the expected population size at time t .

ANSWER :

1) $p_1'(t) = -\lambda p_1(t)$, and

$$p_j'(t) = \lambda(j-1)p_{j-1}(t) - \lambda j p_j(t).$$

2) It is straightforward to show that $p_1(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$. Assume that for any $k \leq j-1$, and any t $p_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t}(1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{k-1}$. By Markov property, for $j \neq 1$, since the evolution of a birth process started from two can be split into two independant birth processes,

$$\begin{aligned} p_j(t) &= \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} p_k(t-s)p_{j-k}(t-s)ds \\ &= (j-1)\lambda e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}(1 - e^{-\lambda(t-s)})^{j-2}ds \\ &= (j-1)e^{-\lambda t} \int_{e^{-\lambda t}}^1 (1-u)^{j-2}du \\ &= (j-1)e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^{1-e^{-\lambda t}} u^{j-2}du \\ &= e^{-\lambda t}(1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{j-1} \end{aligned}$$

The probability that the process explodes in finite time is

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists t < \infty, X_t = \infty) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_k = \infty) = 0.$$

3) It is the expectation of a geometric variable with parameter $e^{-\lambda t}$, therefore $\mathbb{E}(X_t) = e^{\lambda t}$. □

Exercise 3 : A simple Markov process

Fix $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and consider a Markov process on $E = \{1, 2\}$, with generator matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & -\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

- 1) (i) Give a graphic representation of the Markov process.
(ii) Write down the Kolmogorov equation for $P_t[1, 1]$.
(iii) Prove the identity

$$P_t'[1, 1] + (\alpha + \beta)P_t[1, 1] = \beta.$$

- (iv) Solve this equation to determine $P_t[1, 1]$.
- 2) (i) Compute L^2 as a function of L .
(ii) Deduce a simple formula for L^n .
(iii) Deduce from the previous questions that

$$P_t = I_2 + \frac{L}{\alpha + \beta}(1 - e^{-(\alpha + \beta)t}).$$

- (iv) Check the result obtained at the previous question.
- 3) Does this chain have an invariant measure ?

ANSWER :

- 1) (i) Easy !
(ii) $P'_t = LP_t$, so that $P_t[1, 1]' = -\alpha P_t[1, 1] + \beta P_t[1, 2]$
(iii) Write $P_t[1, 1] = 1 - P_t[1, 2]$ to prove the identity.
(iv)

$$P_t[1, 1] = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} + Ae^{-(\alpha+\beta)t},$$

and the initial condition yields $A = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$.

- 2) (i)

$$L^2 = -(\alpha + \beta)L$$

- (ii)

$$L^n = (-\alpha - \beta)^{n-1}L$$

- (iii)

$$P_t = e^{tL} = I_2 + t \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n-1}(\alpha + \beta)^{n-1}}{n!} L = I_2 + \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} (1 - e^{-t(\alpha+\beta)}) L,$$

on retrouve bien

$$P_t[1, 1] = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} e^{-(\alpha+\beta)t},$$

- 3) We check if the chain has a reversible measure,

$$\mu(1)L[1, 2] = \mu(2)L[2, 1],$$

we find

$$\mu(1) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(2) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

□

Exercise 4 : A Markov triangle

We consider a Markov process X_t on a triangle, with vertices 1, 2, 3 going clockwise. In a small timestep dt , the process moves one step clockwise with probability $\alpha dt + O(dt^2)$, and counter clockwise with probability $\beta dt + O(dt^2)$, otherwise it stays put.

- 1) (i) Give the intensity matrix for this process, and give a graphic representation.
(ii) What is the probability starting from state 1, to hit state 2 before state 3 ?
(iii) We denote by T^3 the first time the process hits state 3. Compute $\mathbb{E}_1(T^3)$, which is the expectation starting from state 1 of the hitting time of state 3.
- 2) (i) What is the transition matrix of the skeleton (Y_k) of the process ?

- (ii) What is the probability, for the skeleton, to hit state 2 before state 3, starting from state 1 ?
- (iii) What is the expected number of steps in the skeleton to hit state 3 starting from state 1 ?

ANSWER :

1) (i)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha - \beta & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & -\alpha - \beta & \alpha \\ \alpha & \beta & -\alpha - \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

(ii) The probability is $\alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$.

(iii) Either use the result from the lecture notes, or use the Markov property at the time of the first jump, to show that

$$\mathbb{E}_1(T^3) = \mathbb{E}_1(\tau_0) + \mathbb{P}_1(Y_1 = 2)\mathbb{E}_2(T^3) + \mathbb{P}_1(Y_1 = 3)\mathbb{E}_3(T^3) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}\mathbb{E}_2(T^3).$$

We apply once again the Markov property to the second term, to yield

$$\mathbb{E}_1(T^3) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \mathbb{E}_1(T^3) \right],$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E}_1(T^3) = \frac{2\alpha + \beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha\beta}.$$

One can check that this is coherent with the cases where $\alpha = 0$ ($T^3 \sim \text{Exp}(\beta)$) and $\beta = 0$ (T^3 is the sum of two independent $\text{Exp}(\alpha)$ variables).

2) (i)

$$\frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(ii) It is $\alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$.

(iii) Each step in the continuous process takes an $\text{Exp}(\alpha + \beta)$ time, so that the number of steps needed in the skeleton is $(\alpha + \beta)\mathbb{E}_1(T^3)$. \square

Exercise 5 : A queue process

At the post office, clients arrive at a single counter and exit as they are taken care of. We assume that at a rate λ (i.e. at the ringing times of a rate λ Poisson clock), a random number of clients (independent from the Poisson clock and from the other arrivals) arrives in the queue, according to a distribution $\mathbf{p} := (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ on \mathbb{N} . We assume that $p_0 = 0$. All clients are in a single line, and the first in the line is taken care of at a rate $\gamma > 0$.

1) Write down the intensity matrix for this process, and justify that the total number of clients N_t that have entered the post office before time t can be written

$$N_t = \sum_{k=1}^{Q_t} \xi_k,$$

where Q_t follows a Poisson distribution with a parameter that will be indicated, and the (ξ_k) 's are i.i.d. variables with distribution \mathbf{p} .

2) (i) Justify that the number M_t of people in the post office is an irreducible Markov process, and its unique communicating class is \mathbb{N} .

(ii) Show that M_t does not explode in finite time.

3) Assume that μ is a stationary probability distribution for M . We define $g(s) = \sum_k p_k s^k$ (resp $\psi(s) = \sum_k \mu_k s^k$) the probability generating function of \mathbf{p} . (resp. μ).

(i) Write down the equations satisfied by μ , and show that

$$\psi(s) = \frac{\gamma \mu_0 (1-s)}{s \lambda (g(s) - 1) + \gamma (1-s)}.$$

(ii) Let $m = \sum k p_k$ be the average of \mathbf{p} . Show that if there exists an invariant probability measure, we must have $m < \infty$ and $m \lambda / \gamma < 1$

(iii) Show that if $m \lambda / \gamma < 1$ there exists a unique invariant probability measure μ .

(iv) Assume $m \lambda / \gamma < 1$, compute $\mathbb{E}_\mu(X_t)$.

ANSWER : The intensity matrix is given by the transition rates

$$\ell_{k,k+\ell} = \begin{cases} \lambda p_\ell & \text{for } \ell \geq 1 \\ \gamma & \text{for } \ell = -1 \\ -\lambda - \gamma & \text{for } \ell = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The number of occurrences at which clients arrived follows a Poisson point process with rate λ , and at each occurrence, an independent number of clients distributed as \mathbf{p} arrives. Hence, choosing $Q_t \sim Poi(\lambda t)$, and ξ_k 's distributed as i.i.d. \mathbf{p} , we obtain the formula.

1) (i) Choose an arbitrary k_0 such that $p_{k_0} > 0$. Since any positive integer ℓ

can be written

$$\ell = a_\ell k_0 - b_\ell,$$

for two positive integers a_ℓ, b_ℓ . Then, we have the path from any n to $n + \ell$ defined by the arrival of k_0 clients a_ℓ times, then the exit of b_ℓ successive clients. This path links n to $n + \ell$, and occurs at positive rate $(\lambda p_{k_0})^{a_\ell} \gamma^{b_\ell} > 0$. To go from $n + \ell$ to n we just have to make ℓ clients leave, which occurs with rate $\gamma^\ell > 0$.

(ii) The process jumps at a total rate at most $\gamma + \lambda$ (except when there are no clients, where it jumps at rate λ), which is constant and therefore bounded, thus it does not explode.

2) (i) We apply the definition of a stationary distribution, we must have $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{1}_{\{e\}}) = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular,

$$\gamma\mu_1 = \lambda\mu_0, \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma + \lambda)\mu_k = \gamma\mu_{k+1} + \lambda \sum_{\ell=1}^k \mu_{k-\ell} p_\ell \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \quad (1)$$

We now multiply this identity by s^k and sum over $k \geq 1$, to obtain that for any $s \neq 0$

$$(\gamma + \lambda) \sum_{k \geq 1} s^k \mu_k = \frac{\gamma}{s} \sum_{k \geq 1} s^{k+1} \mu_{k+1} + \lambda \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} s^k \mu_{k-\ell} p_\ell,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma + \lambda)[\psi(s) - \mu_0] &= \frac{\gamma}{s}[\psi(s) - \mu_0 - s\mu_1] + \lambda g(s)\psi(s), \\ \left(\gamma + \lambda - \frac{\gamma}{s} - g(s)\right)\psi(s) &= (\gamma + \lambda)\mu_0 - \frac{\gamma}{s}(\mu_0 + s\mu_1) = \gamma\mu_0(1 - 1/s), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the identity.

(ii) If there exists an invariant measure, ψ must be continuous in $s = 1$, and we must have $\psi(1) = \sum_k \mu_k = 1$. We rewrite

$$s(g(s) - 1) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (s^{k+1} - s)p_k = -(1 - s) \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^k s^j p_k,$$

so that

$$\psi(s) = \frac{\gamma\mu_0}{\gamma - \lambda \sum_{k \geq j \geq 1} s^j p_k} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{\gamma\mu_0}{\gamma - \lambda m}.$$

Since γ, λ and μ_0 are non negative, in order to have $\psi(1) = 1$, we must have $m < \infty$ (otherwise $\psi(s) = 0 \neq 1$) and $\lambda m < \gamma$ (otherwise $\mu_0 < 0$).

(iii) If $\lambda m < \gamma$, we define $\mu_0 = (\gamma - \lambda m)/\gamma \in [0, 1]$. We then determine μ_1 using the first identity in (1), and μ_k for $k \geq 2$ using the second part of (1). By construction, this yields an invariant measure, with total mass $1 = \psi(1)$. It is not hard to check that by construction, $\mu_k > 0 \forall k$.

(iv) If $\lambda m < \gamma$, by stationarity

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu(M_t) = \mathbb{E}_\mu(M_0) = \sum_{k \geq 1} k\mu_k = \psi'(1),$$

which can be computed with either one of the formulae for $\psi(s)$, since $g'(1) = m$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu(M_t) = \psi'(1) = \frac{\gamma\mu_0 \lambda \sum_{k \geq j \geq 1} j p_k}{(\gamma - \lambda m)^2} = \frac{\lambda \sum_{k \geq 1} (k^2 + k)p_k}{2(\gamma - \lambda m)}$$

□