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## StoSOO operates on a given hierarchical partitioning

- For any $h, \mathcal{X}$ is partitioned in $K^{h}$ cells $\left(X_{h, i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq K^{h}-1}$.
- K-ary tree $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ where depth $h=0$ is the whole $\mathcal{X}$.

- StoSOO adaptively creates finer and finer partitions of $\mathcal{X}$.
- $x_{h, i} \in X_{h, i}$ is a specific state per cell where $f$ is evaluated
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## Challenge 1: Stochasticity



- cannot evaluate the cell only once before splitting
- cannot return the highest $x_{t}$ encountered as $x(n)$


## Challenge 2: Unknown smoothness

Assumption about the function: $f$ is locally smooth w.r.t. a semi-metric $\ell$ around one global maximum $x^{*}$ :

$$
\forall x \in \mathcal{X}: f\left(x^{*}\right)-f(x) \leq \ell\left(x, x^{*}\right)
$$


" $f$ does not decrease too fast around $x^{* "}$

## Challenge 2: Unknown smoothness

What can we do if the smoothness is known?


## Comparison

|  | Deterministic <br> function | Stochastic <br> function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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- optimistically select the node with the highest $b$-value at each depth


## Pseudocode of StoSOO

while $t \leq n$ do
Set $b_{\max }=-\infty$.
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Set $t \leftarrow t+1$.
end if
end if
end for
end while
Return the state corresponding to the deepest expanded node:
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## Measure of complexity
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## Measure of complexity: Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x^{*}\right)-f(x)=\Theta\left(\left\|x^{*}-x\right\|\right) \\
& \ell\left(x, x^{*}\right)-f(x)=\Theta\left(\left\|x^{*}-x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \ell(x, y)=\|x-y\| \rightarrow d=0 \quad \begin{array}{l}
\ell(x, y)=\|x-y\| \rightarrow d=D / 2 \\
\ell(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2} \rightarrow d=0
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StoSOO performs as if it knew the best possible semi-metric $\ell$
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## Main result

## Theorem

Let $d$ be the $\nu / 3$-near-optimality dimension and $C$ be the corresponding constant. If the assumptions hold, then the loss of StoSOO run with parameters $k, h_{\text {max }}$, and $\delta>0$, after $n$ iterations is bounded, with probability $1-\delta$, as:

$$
R_{n} \leq 2 \varepsilon+w\left(\min \left(h(n)-1, h_{\varepsilon}, h_{\max }\right)\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon=\sqrt{\log (n k / \delta) /(2 k)}$ and $h(n)$ is the smallest $h \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

$$
C(k+1) h_{\max } \sum_{l=0}^{h}(w(I)+2 \varepsilon)^{-d} \geq n
$$

$h_{\varepsilon}=\arg \min \{h \in \mathbb{N}: w(h+1)<\varepsilon\}$ and $\sup _{x \in X_{h, i}} \ell\left(x_{h, i}, x\right) \leq w(h)$

## Exponential diameters and $d=0$

## Corollary

Assume that the diameters of the cells decrease exponentially fast, i.e., $w(h)=c \gamma^{h}$ for some $c>0$ and $\gamma<1$. Assume that the $\nu / 3$-near-optimality dimension is $d=0$ and let $C$ be the corresponding constant. Then the expected loss of StoSOO run with parameters $k, h_{\max }=\sqrt{n / k}$, and $\delta>0$, is bounded as:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[R_{n}\right] \leq(2+1 / \gamma) \varepsilon+c \gamma^{\sqrt{n / k}} \min \{0.5 / C, 1\}-2+2 \delta
$$

## Exponential diameters and $d=0$

## Corollary

For the choice $k=n / \log ^{3}(n)$ and $\delta=1 / \sqrt{n}$, we have:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[R_{n}\right]=O\left(\frac{\log ^{2}(n)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

This result shows that, surprisingly, StoSOO can achieve the same rate $\tilde{O}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$, up to a logarithmic factor, as the HOO or Stochastic DOO algorithms run with the best possible metric, although StoSOO does not require the knowledge of it.

## The important case $d=0$

Let a function in such space have upper- and lower envelope around $x^{*}$ of the same order, i.e., there exists constants $c \in(0,1)$, and $\eta>0$, such that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left(\eta, c \ell\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right) \leq f\left(x^{*}\right)-f(x) \leq \ell\left(x, x^{*}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Any function satisfying (1) lies in the gray area and possesses a lower- and upper-envelopes that are of same order around $x^{*}$.

## Two-sine product function
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StoSOO (diamonds) vs.
Stochastic DOO with $\ell_{1}$ (circles) and $\ell_{2}$ (squares) on $f_{1}$

## Garland function

$$
f_{2}(x)=4 x(1-x) \cdot\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{|\sin (60 x)|})\right) .
$$
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StoSOO's performance for the garland function.
Left noised with $\mathcal{N}_{T}(0,0.01)$. Right: Noised with $\mathcal{N}_{T}(0,0.1)$.
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## Noised two-sine product function: StoSOO vs. MATLAB



## When $d>0$ ?

Example of a function with different order in the upper and lower envelopes, when $\ell(x, y)=|x-y|^{\alpha}$ :

$$
f(x)=1-\sqrt{x}+\left(-x^{2}+\sqrt{x}\right) \cdot\left(\sin \left(1 / x^{2}\right)+1\right) / 2
$$



The lower-envelope behaves like a square root whereas the upper one is quadratic. There is no semi-metric of the form $|x-y|^{\alpha}$ for which $d<3 / 2$.

